Psychology 454: Psychological Measurement An introduction to latent variable modeling

William Revelle
Swift 315
email: revelle@northwestern.edu

September 25, 2024

1 Objectives

To understand the fundamental concepts in latent variable modeling in order to make you a better consumer and producer of latent variable models in your research.

To understand how to evaluate the quality of models when applied to data by understanding various sources of variability of goodness of fit tests.

To learn how to apply these concepts to real data sets using a variety of standard statistical packages (e.g., R. Mx, MPlus)

2 Text, readings, and requirements

2.1 Text

Loehlin, J. C. and Alexander Beaujean Latent Variable Models (5th ed). Routledge. 2017

Revelle, W. (in preparation) An introduction to psychometric theory with applications in R. Springer. Draft chapters available at https://personality-project.org/r/book.

Revelle, W. (in preparation) Adventures in Latent Variable Modeling using R. Draft chapters available at $\frac{\text{https://personality-project.org/r/book.}}{\text{https://personality-project.org/r/book.}}$

2.2 Readings

Multiple web based readings including, but not limited to the ones listed in the references. This list will be added to throughout the quarter.

Syllabus and handouts available at https://personality-project.org/courses/454/454.syllabus.pdf

2.3 Requirements

Some basic knowledge of psychometric theory (to be reviewed in week 1). This course is a natural sequel to Psychology 405: Psychometric Theory. Some of the web readings will be taken from the 405 syllabus.

Familiarity with matrix algebra (to be reviewed in week 1)

Willingness to use computer packages that allow for structural equation modeling. These can either be downloaded to your computer (e.g, the open source packages R, and Mx) or run if you have a license to the proprietary program MPlus. Willingness to ask questions and add to the class discussion.

2.4 Evaluation

Homework assignments will be given weekly. These are your benefit and will be graded on a completed, not completed basis.

Students will be expected to write a short paper demonstrating the use of structural equation techniques applied to their particular research interests. They will also be asked to present their use of latent variable models in short (15-30 minute) presentations in the last few weeks of the course.

This is a hands on course. You will be expected to try the various programs on simulated and real data sets.

3 Outline (to be added to frequently – keep checking)

| Week | Topic | Reading | Lecture Notes | Homework |
|------|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | Review Correlation and Regression | Loehlin Chapter 1 Linear Algebra | Correlation and Regression linear algebra | Problem set 1 Exercises from Loehlin |
| | Reliability | | Introduction to R Introduction to R – appendix inverse of a matrix. | 1-12 (page 32-34) |
| 2 | Basic Model fitting | Loehlin Chapter 2 Directed Acyclic Graphs (Rohrer, 2018) | Path models Week 2 slides n | Problem set 2 |
| | | | Model fitting Using R | |
| 3 | Simple models | Loehlin Chapter 3 statistical control Wysocki et al. (2022) | OLS/WLS/MLE EFA and hierarchical structure. why latents sem and mediation A general factor of personality? | problem set 3 homework 3 |
| 4 | Exploratory FA | Loehlin Chapter 5 Hierarchical factor models | review of factor analysis factoring real data | Problem set 4 |
| 5 | EFA (continued) | Loehlin Chapter 6 (Widaman and Revelle, 2023) | factoring real data continued items vs continuous measures goodness of fit change more change | Problem set 5 |
| 6 | CFA Multiple Groups | Loehlin Chapter 4 testing invariances one good and one bad example of modeling complex structures MIMIC models | measurement invariance analysis and critique How to define a model Advanced modeling with Lavaan types of variables, types of models | Problem set 6 |
| 7 | Goodness of Fit Evaluating Alternatives | Loehlin Chapter 7 | Advanced modeling with Lavaan | Problem set 7 |
| 8 | | Loehlin Chapter | Issues in inference latent class analysis | Problem set 8 |
| 8b | longitudinal Categorical Multilevel analysis | From Yves Rosseel Multilevel tutorial (Revelle and Wilt, 2019) | longitudinal sem categorical sem multilevel.pdf | |
| 9 | Critiques of SEM Other latent models | Freedman Freedman (1987)Cliff (Cliff, 1983) Loehliln, Chapter 7 IRT as categorical re- sponse model | Critiques and warnings Item Response Theory | |
| 10 | Summary of Latent Variable models | | Review of SEM | |

4 Detailed Notes

4.1 Week 1

Introduction to latent variables (405 in a week).

Review of Correlation, regression, and classical reliability theory. See also Chapter 4 on Correlation and regression as well as Chapter 5 on multiple correlation and regression.

Review of matrix algebra (Appendix A)

4.2 Week 2

Application of linear algebra to pattern and structure. Exploratory factor analysis as a basic latent variable model. Structural equations as linear algebra or as path diagrams. Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAGs) as a tool for theory construction (Rohrer, 2018). The basic logic of DAGs is to show conceptual relationships. SEMS apply linear models to these conceptual relationships.

Finding the inverse of a matrix.

4.3 Week 3

Structural models and goodness of fit tests. Barrett (2007), Examples with simulated data.

How to simulate structural data. This has been revised with a correction for two factor simulations and with a more extensive analysis of the effects of sample size on estimating parameters in the two factor model.

Using basic sem programs to find structure and apply goodness of fit tests. Using the *sem* (Fox et al., 2013) and *lavaan* (Rosseel, 2012) packages.

Some useful data set examples from the Lisrel manual

A very nice wet of web pages discussing sem and atent variable analysis by David Kenny

4.4 Week 4

Analysis of hierarchical factor models using hierarchical and bifactor solutions. The lecture notes for week 4 are here and prior notes are prior year notes

4.5 Week 5

Exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis, continued. The lecture notes for week 5 are here.

Considering issues of using items rather than continuous measures. items vs continuous measures. Unfortunately, items have serious problems with skew.

One of the most powerful applications of sem is the analysis of change.

4.6 Week 6

Comparing three examples from the literature: a short example (Erdle et al., 2009) of how not to report factor analysis, a sem paper which which actually fails to identify the model correctly (Erdle et al., 2010) and another (Marsh et al., 2010) which systematically compares models. This last one includes a good discussion of how to do measurement invariance.

4.7 Weeks 7-9

lavaan uses many examples from the MPlus manual (http://www.statmodel.com/ugexcerpts.shtml. See in particular the example data sets at http://www.statmodel.com/usersguide/chapter5.shtml. The notes describing lavaan output for these examples are available here.

Comparing sem in R and LISREL (Jöreskog and Sörbom, 1999). Consideration of goodness of fit tests (Barratt et al., 2007) (Click on Issue 5 in the left had column). R and LISREL lecture notes

Commercial software for structural equation modeling: EQS Bentler (1995), LISREL (Jöreskog and Sörbom, 1999) MPlus (Muthén and Muthén, 2007).

4.8 R advice

The R tutorial gives a short introduction to the use of R.

- (Macs and PCs) For this, or any other package to work, you must activate it by either using the Package Manager or the "library" command:
 - type library(psych)
 - sessionInfo to make sure you have the most recent version of psych.
 - install.packages("psych", repos="https://personality-project.org/r", type="source") to get the latest version.
 - If loading the psych package works, function such as describe and pairs.panels should work (or at least give an error message that is NOT "could not find function").
 - entering ?psych will give a list of the functions available in the psych package.

References

- Barrett, P. (2007). Structural equation modelling: Adjudging model fit. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 42(5):815–824.
- Bentler, P. M. (1995). EQS structural equations program manual. Multivariate Software, Inc., Encino, CA.
- Cliff, N. (1983). Some cautions concerning the application of causal modeling methods. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 18(1):115 126.
- Erdle, S., Gosling, S. D., and Potter, J. (2009). Does self-esteem account for the higher-order factors of the big five? *Journal of Research in Personality*, 43(5):921 922.
- Erdle, S., Irwing, P., Rushton, J. P., and Park, J. (2010). The general factor of personality and its relation to self-esteem in 628,640 internet respondents. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 48(3):343–346.
- Fox, J., Nie, Z., and Byrnes, J. (2013). sem: Structural Equation Models. R package version 3.1-3.
- Freedman, D. A. (1987). As others see us: A case study in path analysis. *Journal of educational statistics*, 12(2):101–128.
- Jöreskog, K. G. and Sörbom, D. (1999). LISREL 8: Structural equation modeling with the SIMPLIS command language. Scientific Software International, Lincolnwood.
- Marsh, H. W., Scalas, L. F., and Nagengast, B. (2010). Longitudinal tests of competing factor structures for the rosenberg self-esteem scale: Traits, ephemeral artifacts, and stable response styles. *Psychological Assessment*, 22(2):366 381.
- Muthén, L. and Muthén, B. (2007). *Mplus User's Guide*. Muthén & Muthén, Los Angeles, CA, fifth edition edition.
- Revelle, W. and Wilt, J. A. (2019). Analyzing dynamic data: a tutorial. Personality and Individual Differences, 136(1):38–51.
- Rohrer, J. M. (2018). Thinking clearly about correlations and causation: Graphical causal models for observational data. Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science, 1(1):27–42.
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48(2):1–36.

- Widaman, K. F. and Revelle, W. (2023). Thinking About Sum Scores Yet Again, maybe the last time, we don't know, oh no . . .: A comment on McNeish (2023). Educational and Psychological Measurement, 0(0).
- Wysocki, A. C., Lawson, K. M., and Rhemtulla, M. (2022). Statistical control requires causal justification. Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science, 5(2):25152459221095823.